

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/708,737	03/22/2004	Yoshikazu SHIMOSATO	040118	2736
23850	7590 06/30/2005		EXAM	INER
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			KASTLER, SCOTT R	
1725 K STR SUITE 1000	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	TON, DC 20006	1742		
	•		DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/708,737	SHIMOSATO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Scott Kastler	1742
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of this will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application	۱.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 March 2004 is/are	: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ ob	jected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No
2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority		
application from the International Bure	•	rieceived iii tiiis National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t received.
	•	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date
3) Note that Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/1/04, 3/24/04	8) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050624

Application/Control Number: 10/708,737

Art Unit: 1742

Drawings

Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claims 2-4 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The above claims do not fairly further limit independent apparatus claim 1 because the above claims recite limitations dealing only with the manner or method in which the claimed apparatus is to be employed (the type of treatment to be performed or in the case of claim 4, the type of workpiece to be treated). It has been well settled that the manner or method of use of an apparatus cannot be relied upon to fairly further distinguish claims to the apparatus itself. see MPEP 2114 and 2115 and *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the admitted prior art of the instant disclosure. The admitted prior art of the instant disclosure teaches, in the embodiment of figure 1 and at paragraphs [0003]-[0009] for example, a continuous vacuum carburizing furnace including a heating/"first conditioning" chamber, a carburizing chamber, a diffusing/"second conditioning" chamber, and a cooling chamber with door members disposed between adjacent chambers, thereby showing all limiting aspects of the above claims, since as stated above, it has been well settled that the manner or method of use of an apparatus (the specific treatments to be performed in the recited chambers or the workpiece to be treated) cannot be relied upon to fairly further distinguish claims to the apparatus itself. see MPEP 2114 and 2115 and *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Each of Murakami et al and Hattori et al are also cited as further examples of prior art vacuum carburizing furnaces.

Art Unit: 1742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (571) 272-1243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott Kastler Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

sk